LOW VOLUME ROAD PROJECT REVIEW

Highway Name and Location	SH 71D, Milepost 138.08 to 154.5
Treatment Used	Chip Seal
2015	
2016	
2017	

LOW VOLUME ROAD PROJECT REVIEW

		201	8			H				+	Ŧ		
Condi	tion be	fore tre	eatme	nt 2014	1	See E	Below:						
BMP	Emp	Year	lri	Rut	Fatg	Tran	Long	Crbk	DL	DL_ld	х	Cond	
138	143	2005	88	100	100	99	100	0	9	IRI		MODERATE	
143	148	2005	88	100	100	99	99	0	9	IRI		MODERATE	
148	153	2005	88 70	100	100	99	98	0	9	IRI		MODERATE	
Condi	tion oft	2005	0/	100 EVr 1	99 2015	90 Soo [90 Polow:	0	9	IKI			
BMD	Emp	Voar	Inem	- IIII-	Eata	Tran		Crbk	וח	DI Id	v	Cond	
138	143	2015	100	100	100	100	100	0	13	FATG	• ;	HIGH	
143	143	2015	100	100	100	100	100	0	13	FATG	;	HIGH	
148	153	2015	100	100	100	100	100	0	13	FATG	ì	HIGH	
153	155	2015	100	100	100	100	100	0	13	FATG	ì	HIGH	
Condi	tion aft	er trea	tment	t Yr 2 -	2016	See E	Below:						
BMP	Emp	Year	Iri	Rut	Fatg	Tran	Long	Crbk	DL	DL_lo	xt	Cond	
138	143	2015	95	100	100	99	100	-1	8	IRI		MODERATE	
143	148	2015	94	100	100	99	100	-1	8	IRI		MODERATE	
148	153	2015	95 02	100	100	99	100	- I 1	8			MODERATE	
Condi	tion off	2015	7J	+ Vr 2	2017	See F	Below:	-1	0	INI		MODELWITE	
BMD	Emn	Voar	Inem	Dut	Eata	Tran	Long	Crbk	וח	ע וח	dv	Cond	
138	143	2015	95	100	100	99	100	-1	8	IRI	u A	MODERATE	
143	148	2015	94	100	100	97	99	-1	8	IRI		MODERATE	
148	153	2015	95	100	100	97	99	-1	8	IRI		MODERATE	
153	154.5	2015	93	100	100	95	98	-1	8	TRA	N	MODERATE	
Condi	tion aft	er trea	tment	t Yr 4 -	2018	See E	Below:						
BMP	Emp	Year	lri	Rut	Fatg	Tran	Long	Crbk	DL	DL_lo	dx	Cond	
138	143	2015	95 05	100	100	98	100	-1	8	IRI		MODERATE	
143 140	148 152	2015 2015	95 05	100	100	9/ 05	99	- 1	ъ С		N		
140	154.5	2015	93	100	100	94	70 98	- i -1	0 8	TRA	N	MODERATE	
Chan	ne in DI	condit	ion de	CUMEr	ited	Aver	ade DL i	ncrease	- of ~4	1 vears	-		
Unany	Treatm	ent		Quantity	y Un	it 1	reatmer (SY	nt Area		Jnit Cost	•	Cost	Calculated Cost (SY)
Cov	er Coat M (Type	Material I)	I	308,327	S	(308,3	327	\$	2.05	\$0	532,070.35	\$2.05
Emulsi	ified Asp 2P)	halt (CR	S-	734	То	'n	308.3	327	\$2	05.00	\$	150,470.00	\$0.49

LOW VOLUME ROAD PROJECT REVIEW

	1
Takeaways	This segment is technically Medium Volume due to trucks (280), but the total AADT 990) is in the Low Volume category.
	Region 4 anticipates that this will be a worthwhile chipseal.It's too early to quantify effectiveness, but the chip seal looks good and construction went well.
	This road had a Full Depth Reclamation with a 5.25" overlay in 2005. With a DL=9, this is the perfect time for preventive maintenance (keeping good roads in good condition with inexpensive, timely treatments).
	Prior to this chip seal, there were few to no cracks in this roadway (10 years in) which is a testament to why Full Depth Reclamation should be used as a highly cost efficient rehab technique for lower/medium volume roads (even though it's currently not allowed). This is more of a PM Chip if anything else and good management of how to keep a Low/Medium volume road that is already in good shape in continuing good shape. If all of our lower volume network was brought up to good shape before we instituted these low volume restrictions we would likely be able to maintain things better than we are currently tied to by policy. Construction funds to rehabilitate all low volume roads remain unavailable.